BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

PRESENTED BY ORDER OF THE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF BENGAL

REPORT

ON

THE LEGAL AFFAIRS

OF THE

BENGAL GOVERNMENT

FOR THE YEAR

1893-94.

Calcutta:
PRINTED AT THE BENGAL SECRETARIAT PRESS.
1894.

GOVT. SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

No. 213.

FROM T. T. ALLEN, ESQ.,

Superintendent and Remembrancer of Legal Affairs,

TO THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL.

Dated Calcutta, the 18*th May* 1894.

SIR,

I HAVE the honour to submit my report on the result of the civil litigation in which Government was engaged during the year 1893-94.

2 *Privy Council.*—The case of Maharani Hemanta Kumari Debi *versus* the Secretary of State for India in Council having been disposed of by the High Court on remand, as reported in paragraph 6 of my report for the last year, an application was made to the Privy Council on behalf of the appellants praying for the costs of the suit, and the Judicial Committee ordered the respondent to pay to the appellants all the costs of the suit incurred by them. A copy of the decree was received in this office with Government letter No. 881J.D., dated 3rd November 1893. The decree has since been satisfied.

3. There is only one case* now pending before the Privy Council which was reported in paragraph 8 of my last year's report. Memorandum for the guidance of Solicitors at Home in arguing this case will be submitted to Government when the transcript is ready.

* Faniudra Deb Raikat, Plaintiff, Appellant,

versus

The Secretary of State for India in Council Defendant, Respondent.

4. No appeal was preferred to Her Majesty in Council during the year under report.

5. The result of Government litigation in the Civil Courts of Bengal during the year 1893-94, as compared with that of two previous years, is as follows :—

	ORIGINAL CASES.			APPEALS— Before Lower Courts.			APPEALS— Before High Court.			TOTAL.		
	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Decided in favour of Government	238	336	209	32	44	47	10	11	27	280	391	283
Decided against Government	76	116	63	7	12	7	13	6	11	96	134	81
Compromised, remanded, or withdrawn	125	108	46	3	8	18	7	4	6	135	120	70
Percentage in favour of Government.	75·7	74·4	76·8	82	78·5	87·03	43·5	64·7	71·05	74·4	74·5	77·7

6. Leaving aside the Land Acquisition cases from the above figures, the result will be as shown below :—

	ORIGINAL CASES.			APPEALS— Before Lower Courts.			APPEALS— Before High Court.			TOTAL		
	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93	1893-94	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Decided in favour of Government	95	145	104	32	44	47	10	11	27	137	200	178
Decided against Government	37	52	40	7	12	7	10	6	9	54	72	56
Compromised remanded, or withdrawn	11	8	21	3	8	18	6	4	5	20	20	44
Percentage in favour of Government.	72	73·6	72·2	82	78·5	87·03	50	64·7	75	71·7	73·5	76·06

A

7. *High Court.*—The result of litigation in the High Court in which Government was a party during the year under report, as compared with that of the two preceding years, is given below:—

	(1) 1891-92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
1. Decided in favour of Government ...	10	11	27
2. Decided against Government ...	13	6	11
3. Compromised, remanded or withdrawn ...	7	4	6
4. Percentage in favour of Government ...	43 5	64·7	71·05

8. Of the eleven cases decided adversely, in six Government appeared as appellant; as respondent in the remaining five. Of the six cases in which Government appeared as appellant, three were appeals from original decrees, and the rest were appeals from appellate decrees, where Government had been successful in the court of first instance. In none of these cases, however, did Government appear as plaintiff.

9. The three second appeals mentioned above arose out of certain proceedings taken by the Settlement Officer of Tumluk, in the district of Midnapore, under Chapter X of the Bengal Tenancy Act, for the record of rights and settlement of rents in mauza Kamina Chuk. The question at issue in these cases was whether, in preparing a record of rights under section 102 of the Bengal Tenancy Act, a Revenue Officer is competent to determine the validity of rent-free titles set up by persons occupying lands within the area under enquiry, so as to resume such lands and to declare them liable to settlement of rent. It was held by the Full Bench of the High Court that a Revenue Officer in preparing a record of rights under sections 101 and 102 of the Bengal Tenancy Act is not competent to determine the validity of rent-free titles so as to resume such lands and to declare them liable to settlement of rent. These cases being analogous, one single judgment governed them all. This judgment is reported in Volume XXI of the Indian Law Reports, Calcutta series, page 38. A copy of this judgment has also been submitted to Government in the Revenue Department with this office letter No. 855, dated 13th November 1893.

10. As regards appeals from original decrees, the most important among them was a case from the district of Mymensingh brought for the purpose of setting aside the sale of certain taluk which was held under the Revenue Sale Law for the realization of demands composed partly of revenue and partly of demands other than revenue, in which it was held that the proper procedure in such cases is the Certificate Procedure, Act VII (B.C) of 1880, and that the sale, which was held under Act XI of 1859, was therefore illegal and invalid.

11. Of the two remaining cases, one was for setting aside the enhancement of rent demanded by Government, in which it was held that the enhanced rent could not be demanded unless and until the *jamabandis* have been published according to law, and the other was for recovery of a large sum of money claimed as due by a contractor for constructing an office building for the District Board of Chittagong, which was decreed in a modified form.

12. Among the five cases decided against Government in which Government was respondent, two were for recovery of fractional shares of surplus sale proceeds of estates sold for arrears of revenue, in which Article 120, Schedule II of the Limitation Act, not Article 63, was held to be applicable under the authority of the Full Bench ruling in the Secretary of State *versus* Guru Charan Dhar, Indian Law Reports, 20, Calcutta, 51, one was for *khas* possession of certain share of a taluk by adverse possession of more than 60 years, and the remaining two were cases under the Land Acquisition Act X of 1870, in which the awards made by the Collector have been slightly varied on appeal.

13. A short *résumé* of each of the cases is appended herewith.

14. This was a suit instituted by the plaintiff for the recovery of Rs. 12,048, being the balance of money due to him for constructing an office building for the District Board, with damages, &c. It was urged by the District Board that the plaintiff was not entitled to certain items charged for. The Subordinate Judge held that the plaintiff was entitled

Chittagong.

The Chairman of the District Board of Chittagong, Defendant, Appellant,

versus

Upendra Nath Chatterjee, Plaintiff, Respondent.

to recover sums due for extra work, and gave a decree for Rs. 10,000 and odd, disallowing only the claim for damages. The High Court, on appeal, held that the plaintiff was entitled to get the sum actually spent plus 15 per cent. profit on shale cutting, and gave a decree for Rs. 6,715 with costs.

24-Parganas.
Bishakha Dassi, Appellant,
versus
The Collector under Act X of 1870, Respondent.

15. This was a case under the Land Acquisition Act X of 1870. The Collector offered the plaintiff Rs. 12,000 and odd, while she claimed Rs. 35,170. The District Judge, disagreeing with the Assessors, confirmed the Collector's award, together with the usual statutory allowance. The claimant appealed to the High Court who gave her a decree for Rs. 17,584, with statutory allowance of 15 per cent. with costs in both Courts.

Chittagong.
The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Appellant,
versus
Nabadwip Chandra Roy and others, Plaintiffs, Respondents.

16. This was a suit for setting aside the enhancement of rent of mauza Bakalia and for a declaration that the lands appertaining thereto are not liable to assessment. Plaintiffs stated that the taluk was created before the Permanent Settlement, and that Government has no power to demand rent higher than that fixed in a kabuliyat executed in 1847. Government stated that the suit was barred under Act VIII (B.C.) of 1879, section 10, and that the taluk was not a permanently-settled one, and that the record of rights and rent was fair and proper. The District Judge held that the suit was not barred as the *jamabandis* were not published according to law, and that the plaintiffs are not bound to pay enhanced rent unless and until the *jamabandis* of 1885 be legally published, and decreed the case in a modified form. On appeal, the High Court held that the words "or any future *jamabandis* that may be made" should be added to the lower court's decree, and dismissed our appeal with costs.

Faridpur.
Upendra Lal Mukerjee, Plaintiff, Appellant,
versus
The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Respondent.

17. This was a suit brought by the plaintiff for possession, with mesne profits, of two-annas share of a revenue-paying estate of which he was the *ijaradar* for 60 years. This case is analogous to two other cases regarding the same property and was governed by the same judgment of the Subordinate Judge. The pleas on behalf of Government were that as the plaintiff did not sue for the present claim in his previous suit his claim is barred, and that the plaintiff having split his claim into three suits, this suit should be dismissed, and that the land claimed was not a reformation on old site of the plaintiff's mauza, but on the old site of a Government khas mahal. This suit was valued at Rs. 3,000. The Subordinate Judge dismissed the plaintiff's claim with costs and interest, whereupon an appeal was filed by him to the District Judge. But this appeal was called up by the High Court for being decided along with the appeals in the other cases pending before that Court, and the result was that this appeal was decreed by the High Court, while the other appeals were dismissed.

Chittagong.
The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Appellant,
versus
Joy Chandra Chowdhury and others, Plaintiffs, Respondents.

18. The plaintiffs brought this suit for setting aside the sale of their *shikmi* taluk Daulatpur, which was sold for arrears of rent; they appealed to the Commissioner for setting the sale aside, alleging various irregularities and fraud, and the Commissioner recommended that the sale should be set aside, but the Board of Revenue refused to do so. The plaintiffs brought the present suit on the same grounds which were put forward before the Commissioner. Government pleaded that there were no irregularities. The Subordinate Judge held that the sale was vitiated by fraud and that the plaintiffs suffered substantial loss, and decreed the suit with costs. On appeal, the High Court held that the Subordinate Judge was right in deciding that the sale was wrongly held under Act XI of 1859, Revenue Sale Law, and that a revenue sale held for a demand, a part of which only is revenue, is not legal and valid, and dismissed the appeal with costs.

19. This was also a case under the Land Acquisition Act X of 1870, which was referred to the Civil Court for disposal. The Collector's award has been modified by the High Court. The claimants asked for Rs. 7,650 as compensation for the lands taken up, and the Collector offered only Rs. 755. Compensation for the buildings was not disputed. The District Judge ordered the Collector to pay Rs. 1,001 and odd to the plaintiff as value for the lands only.

Bogra.

Syud Abdus Sobhan Choudhury and others, Plaintiffs, Appellants,

versus

The Collector of Bogra, Respondent.

20. These cases related to proceedings held under the Bengal Tenancy Act for the record of rights and settlement of rents in mauza Kamina Chuk. The Settlement Officer directed the land to be assessed with rent, but the District Judge reversed those decisions on appeal. On second appeal to the High Court, it was held by the Full Bench that a Revenue Officer, preparing a record of rights under the Bengal Tenancy Act, is not competent to determine the validity of claims to hold rent-free set up by persons occupying lands within the area under enquiry so as to declare the land liable to assessment.

Midnapore.

The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Appellant,

versus

Netai Singh, Plaintiff, Respondent.

The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Appellant,

versus

Baikantnath Pradhan, Plaintiff, Respondent.

The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Appellant,

versus

Mahant Ram Tarak Das, Plaintiff, Respondent.

21. The plaintiff brought this suit for the recovery of his share of surplus sale proceeds of a taluk which was sold for arrears of revenue. It was urged on behalf of Government that the suit was barred by limitation. The Munsif decided that the plea of Government as to limitation was untenable, and directed that the plaintiff do get his dues from the Revenue Court. The Judge of Sylhet on appeal, referring to the case of the Secretary of State *versus* Fazl Ali and another, Indian Law Reports, 18 Calcutta, 234, held that the case was clearly barred, and dismissed it. On second appeal, the High Court, on the authority of the subsequent Full Bench ruling in the Secretary of State *versus* Guru Charan Dhar, Indian Law Reports, 20 Calcutta, 51, held that Article 120, Schedule II of the Limitation Act, and not Article 62, is applicable in such cases, and accordingly decreed the appeal.

Sylhet.

Krishna Gobind Dass, Plaintiff, Appellant,

versus

The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Respondent.

22. The principle of law involved in this case is identical with that of the case mentioned above.

Sylhet.

Mahammad Abdul Jabbar, Plaintiff, Appellant,

versus

The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Respondent.

23. From the foregoing abstracts it will be seen that deducting the two Land Acquisition cases, where on the appeal of the claimant the High Court allowed an enhanced sum to that awarded by the local authorities as compensation, and also the two appeals from Sylhet as to limitation applicable in claims for surplus sale proceeds lying credited under section 31, Act XI of 1859, of the remaining seven cases three were on a question of law under the Tenancy Act, viz., as to a Settlement Officer's powers, and one was a disputed account between the District Board of Chittagong and a contractor employed by the Board.

24. The remaining three were concerned with claims to land adverse to Government, in two of which Government was appellant before the High Court, having in all other cases except the settlement ones been successful in the local courts.

25. *Lower Appellate Courts.*—The following is the result of litigation in which Government was concerned in the lower appellate courts during the year under report as compared with that of the two previous years:—

		(1) 1891-92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
1.	Decided in favour of Government ...	32	44	47
2.	Decided against Government ...	7	12	7
3.	Compromised, remanded or withdrawn ...	3	8	18
4.	Percentage in favour of Government ...	82	78·57	87·03

26. Out of the seven appeal cases decided adversely to Government, in four Government appeared as appellant and as respondent in the remaining three. Three out of the four cases, in which Government appeared as appellant, were analogous rent appeals in which Government claimed rent for certain embankment lands in the district of Midnapore, and the remaining one was for possession of certain *chur* land in Mymensingh. None of these appeals were conducted with the approval and sanction of this office. The papers of the last-mentioned case having reached me on the last day for filing the appeal, I refrained from passing any opinion on the merits of the case.

27. The three appeals, in which Government appeared as respondent, were also conducted without reference to my office. In one of them, however, regarding certain chaukidari *chakran* (service) land in Burdwan, Government had been the plaintiff, and the judgment of the first Court was in its favour, which judgment was ultimately reversed by the appellate court.

28. The only case which seems worth mentioning is the one marginally noted. It was a suit brought for the value of a large quantity of *chameli* (scented) oil which was booked at Mairwa station on the Tirhut State Railway to be delivered to the consignee, the plaintiff, at Rangpur. The plaintiff having found the jars in which the oil was packed empty and open at the mouth, he refused to take delivery of the same. The defence urged on behalf of the Railway Administration was that the consignor signed a risk-note which had the effect of exonerating the Railway authorities from liability to pay damages. The Munsif gave a modified decree to the plaintiff, who, being dissatisfied with it, filed an appeal to the Subordinate Judge, who held that the risk-note signed by the servant of the consignor did not satisfy the requirements of section 72 of the Railway Act, and that the Railway officials would not be entitled to claim exoneration from responsibility without discharging the burden that lay upon them under section 76 of the Act, and accordingly decreed the appeal with costs.

Rangpur.
Masuddi Khans Plaintiff, Appellant,
versus
The Secretary of State for India in Council, Defendant, Respondent.

29. The appeal in the case noted in the margin, which was referred to in paragraph 22 of my last year's report, was decided in favour of Government. But the plaintiffs have filed an appeal to the High Court against the decision of the Subordinate Judge.

Faridpur.
Gossain Das Kundu and others
versus
The Secretary of State for India in Council.

30. *Courts of First Instance.*—The following is the result of Government litigation in the Courts of First Instance during the last official year as compared with that of the two preceding years:—

	(1) 1891-92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
1. Decided in favour of Government ...	238	336	209
2. Decided against Government ...	76	116	63
3. Compromised or withdrawn ...	125	108	46
4. Percentage in favour of Government...	75 5	74·3	76 8

31. Deducting the Land Acquisition cases from the above figures, the result will be as follows:—

	(1) 1891-92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
1. Decided in favour of Government ...	95	145	104
2. Decided against Government ...	37	52	40
3. Compromised or withdrawn ...	11	8	21
4. Percentage in favour of Government...	72	73·59	72·2

32. Of these 40 cases decided against Government, four cases at Hooghly and three at Midnapore were analogous ones and were governed by single decisions. If these cases are taken as one, the number of decisions adverse to Government will be decreased by five, and the percentage of decisions in favour of Government will therefore rise to 74·8. Many of these adverse cases were for possession of reformed lands, setting aside the settlements made by the Dearah authorities. Some were for recovery of surplus sale-proceeds,

and some for setting aside revenue sales and sales held in execution of certificates under the Public Demands Recovery Act, VII (B.C.) of 1880. There were also a few cases of compensation claimed for short delivery of goods made over for carriage to the Railway Administrations, which were either lost or destroyed in transit. In half a dozen cases, however, Government was the unsuccessful plaintiff.

33. I subjoin below a brief account of some of the cases which seem to be of some interest.

Midnapore
The Secretary of State for India in Council
versus
Rajendra Nath Chowdhry.

The Secretary of State for India in Council
versus
Rama Nath Charan.

The Secretary of State for India in Council
versus
Keshab Hatna.

34. Government brought these cases for the recovery of rent of certain lands situated at the base of the Chetna circuit embankment. The main point at issue was the interpretation of the term "berm" and to ascertain how far it extends from the base of the embankment. The Munsif of Contai dismissed these suit Appeals were filed to the Subordinate Judge, which were also dismissed. These cases have been referred to in paragraph 26 of this report.

Hooghly.
Maharaja Sir Jotindra Mohan Tagore Bahadur
versus
The Secretary of State for India in Council.

35. This suit was brought for the refund of excess payments made by the Maharaja on account of Dankuni drainage costs. It was urged on behalf of Government that the Civil Court has no jurisdiction to entertain this suit, that it was barred by limitation, and that the plaintiff failed to prove the excess payments, though he was repeatedly called upon to do so. The Subordinate Judge gave him a modified decree, and no appeal was filed against his decision.

24-Parganas.
Ramesh Chandra Ghose
versus
The Secretary of State for India in Council.

36. The plaintiff in this case claimed a pathway over canal surplus land as a right of easement accrued by an uninterrupted use for more than 20 years. We denied the plaintiff's right of easement and urged that we are not bound to allow the pathway claimed. The Munsif decreed the case with costs. No appeal was filed against this judgment.

Backergunge
Maulvi Syed Moazim Hossein
versus
The Secretary of State for India in Council.

37. The plaintiff brought this suit for recovery of money paid by him in satisfaction of a joint-decree obtained by Babu Kali Krishna Tagore against the plaintiff and the Government in the Privy Council. The plea urged by Government was that a suit does not lie for recovery of money paid by a co-defendant, that plaintiff's entire claim was not vested on the rights and titles of Government, that he had an independent right, and therefore cannot recover the sum paid by him. The suit was decreed with costs, and an appeal has been filed which is now pending in the Subordinate Judge's Court.

Balasore.
The Secretary of State for India in Council
versus
Raja Baikantha Nath Dey Bahadur and another.

38. This suit was brought by Government for recovery of penalty duty on excess deficiency of salt found at the clearance of the warehouses at Balasore and Bhadrak as adjudged by the Commissioner. The Munsif held that the adjudication by the Commissioner was not legal, and dismissed the suit.

39. The other cases are not of special interest or importance.

40. The result of Wards' litigation in all the Courts of Bengal during the year 1893-94, as compared with that of the two preceding years, is as follows:—

		(1) 1891-92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
1.	Decided in favour of Court of Wards ...	903	654	1,322
2.	Decided against Court of Wards ...	139	73	140
3.	Compromised, remanded, or withdrawn ..	76	66	55
4.	Percentage in favour of Court of Wards ...	86 6	89 9	90 4

41. The considerable increase in the number of cases decided during the year under report is due to a very large number of rent suits having been instituted on behalf of the Burdwan Raj Estate, Dighapatia and Khagra Estates, in the districts of Burdwan, Hooghly and Bankura, Jessore and Pabna and Purnea, respectively.

42. The result of litigation in the High Court in which the Court of Wards was a party during the last official year, as compared with that of the two previous years, is as follows:—

		(1) 1891-92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
1	Decided in favour of Court of Wards ...	11	16	10
2.	Decided against Court of Wards ...	4	4	5
3.	Compromised, remanded, or withdrawn ...	2	1	4
4.	Percentage in favour of Court of Wards	73·3	80	66·6

43. Among the cases decided in favour of the Court of Wards, four were first appeals, in which the Court of Wards appeared as the respondent, the decisions of the lower court being in its favour. The remaining six cases were second appeals, in four of which the Court of Wards was the respondent; in two, it appeared as the appellant. In these two latter cases, the judgment of the first court had been adverse to the minors.

44. Three of these cases were for recovery of rent, six for declaration of right to and possession of land, and one was for recovery of money due.

45. Of the five cases decided against the Court of Wards, three were first appeals, in which the Court of Wards appeared as the respondent, and two were second appeals, in one of which the Court of Wards was the respondent and in the other appellant.

46. I give below a short narrative of the case decided against the Court of Wards in which it was the appellant. The others do not call for any special notice as no important point of law or principle was involved therein.

47. This suit was brought by the Tikari Raj for the recovery of arrears of rent and certain cesses of mauza Mastafabad, which the defendant holds as middleman under a *ticca patta*. The point at issue in the case was whether the plaintiff is entitled to recover sums other than rent and cesses, that is *abwabs* (illegal cesses). The first court, on the authority of section 74 of the Bengal Tenancy Act, and the Full Bench decision of the Calcutta High Court, 17, Indian Law Reports, Calcutta, 726, held that the plaintiff cannot get the *abwabs* claimed by him, as all impositions upon tenants under the denomination of *abwabs* and other like appellations in addition to actual rent are illegal, and all stipulations and reservations to pay the same are void. The District Judge, on appeal, upheld the lower court's decree. On second appeal, the High Court held that the view taken by the lower court is correct and dismissed the appeal. This case was referred to in paragraph 29 of my last year's report.

Gaya
Tikari Estate, Plaintiff, Appellant,
versus
Raghunandan Persad Singh, Defendant, Respondent

48. The following is the result of Wards' litigation in the lower appellate courts during the year 1893-94 as compared with that of the two previous years:—

		(1) 1891-92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
1.	Decided in favour of Court of Wards ...	45	54	36
2.	Decided against Court of Wards ...	18	14	20
3.	Compromised, remanded, or withdrawn	8	14	3
4	Percentage in favour of Court of Wards	71·7	79 3	64·2

49. Of the 20 cases decided against the Court of Wards, it was appellant in 17 cases, and respondent in three only. One-half of these appeals was in rent and bond suits and suits of Small Cause Court nature which, according to the Civil Suit Rules, are not reported to this office. As regards the other half, three appeals related to estates which had recently come under the management of the Court of Wards, and were filed before the estates were taken charge of.

50. The result of litigation in the courts of first instance during the year 1893-94, as compared with that of the two previous years, is as follows:—

		(1) 1891 92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
1. Decided in favour of Court of Wards		847	584	1,276
2. Decided against Court of Wards	...	117	55	108
3. Compromised or withdrawn	...	66	51	48
4. Percentage in favour of Court of Wards	...	89·9	91·3	92·1

51. The increase in the number of cases decided is due, as stated before, to large number of rent suits having been instituted on behalf of the Burdwan Raj, Dighapatia Estate and Khagra Estate, recently come under management.

52. Of the one hundred and eight cases decided against the Court of Wards, only eleven were of the nature of civil suits, namely, for possession of land, setting aside sales, refund of money realised in excess and such like: only four of them were reported to this office. The remaining cases were rent suits with a small fraction of bond suits.

53. None of the cases call for any special notice. There was only one case at Muzaffarpur wherein the value of the suit was large. It was for a declaration that the properties attached by the Tikari Estate in satisfaction of a High Court decree are liable to be sold in execution. The suit was dismissed.

Muzaffarpur.
Tikari Estate
versus
Raja Sheorajnandan Sing and Janki Koer.

54. The following table shows the amount of Government decrees recovered during the year 1893-94 as compared with that of the two preceding years:—

		(1) 1891-92. Rs.	(2) 1892-93. Rs.	(3) 1893-94. Rs.
1. Amount under realization	...	64,248	42,617	47,190
2. Amount realized during the year	...	30,333	12,616	8,943
3. Percentage of recovery	...	45·25	29·5	18 95

55. The sum remitted during the year is Rs. 1,093, and the net balance outstanding at the close of the year is Rs. 37,154.

56. This poor realization is due, I think, to the want of zeal on the part of the subordinate collecting agency under the Collectors added to the inherent difficulty of the task of finding out properties belonging to judgment-debtors which are very easily concealed or placed beyond the reach of attachment.

57. The heaviest outstanding balances are in the following districts at the close of the year:—

Hooghly, Rs 1,778.—Of this sum Rs. 1,015 due in the case of Chhackan Lal Roy cannot now be recovered, as an appeal is pending in the Privy Council. As regards other decrees, enquiries are being made for the properties of judgment-debtors. In some, debtors have been found to be possessed of no properties, and the decrees against them will have to be remitted shortly.

Faridpur, Rs. 4,090.—Out of this amount, Rs. 740 will have to be remitted, as the judgment-debtor has been declared an insolvent. Rs. 1,787 due from Mr. Thomas Brae will probably have to be remitted as he has no property whatever. Attempt is being made to make the purchaser of his properties liable. The other decrees are under execution, having been awarded during the year under report.

Saran, Rs. 2,075.—Of this amount, Rs. 806 have been deposited in court by one of the judgment-debtors, but it has not yet been withdrawn as the Government share therein has not been ascertained yet. As regards the other decrees, steps are being taken to execute them.

Sonthal Parganas, Rs. 14,030.—This sum has been awarded during the year under a High Court decree.

58. The amount of wards' decrees realized during the year under report, as compared with that of the two preceding years, is as follows:—

		(1) 1891-92.	(2) 1892-93.	(3) 1893-94.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Amount under realization ...	10,95,704	9,83,675	10,80,794
2.	Amount realized during the year	2,30,997	1,59,690	2,00,671
3.	Percentage of recovery ...	21·07	16·25	18·56

59. The above figures do not include the decrees of many estates, marginally noted, which are under the management of the Court of Wards, as their statements have not yet been received; nor was any intimation given to me whether they have gone out of the hands of the Court of Wards or not. I kept my statements open up to the 8th instant, but I could wait no longer, as the time for submitting my report to Government was nearly up.

Beluria estate.	Sabur estate.
Khettrahat "	Hurdi "
Sydabad "	Sheohur "
Baranagore "	Nurhan "
Tepa "	Panameah's "
I. C. Roy's trust estate.	Kanika "
Chand estate.	Jamkunda "

60. The balance has, however, been much reduced by the remission of Rs. 90,498, leaving the net balance outstanding at the close of the year at Rs. 7,89,625.

61. The percentage of recovery of wards' decrees during the year under report shows some improvement.

62. I give below a table which shows that in some estates there has absolutely been no realizations, and in many, the amount recovered is very small:—

1	2	3	4	5	6
DISTRICT.	ESTATE.	Amount under realization.	Amount realized during the year.	Amount remitted.	Balance outstanding.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Midnapore ...	Moheshpore estate ...	73,491	...	...	73,491
Faridpur ...	Koneswar " ...	2,501	...	...	2,501
Dinajpur ...	Maldwar " ...	155	...	...	155
		76,147	...	...	76,147
Midnapore ...	Malighati estate ...	1,085	10	...	1,075
	Basudebpore " ...	11,649	4,036	...	7,613
	Nayagram " ...	6,570	1,105	...	5,465
Rajshahi ...	Dighapatia " (eldest ward)	67,951	5,844	...	62,107
	Ditto (younger ward) ...	92,525	6,930	17,304	68,291
Rangpur ...	Rahamatpur estate ...	19,421	251	...	19,170
Backergunge ...	Haturia " ...	4,194	556	...	3,638
Shahabad ..	Deo Kumar and Dharam Kumar's estate ...	53,121	2,944	2,761	47,416
Gaya ...	Tikari " ...	2,71,771	41,390	11,163	2,19,218
Bhagalpur ...	Sukhraj Roy's " ...	43,035	698	24,465	17,872
Purnea	Khagra " ...	26,091	7,232	...	18,859
Cuttack ...	Kujang " ...	18,712	2,887	463	15,362
Balasore ...	Shahabandar " ...	8,158	427	567	7,164
Hazaribagh ...	Satgaon and other encumbered estates ...	4,560	16	511	4,033
		6,28,843	74,326	57,234	4,97,283

63. The following estates show the heaviest outstanding balances due to them:—

Burdwan: Burdwan Raj Estate, Rs. 1,91,390.—Of this sum Rs. 5,000 and odd have been deposited in Court, which have not yet been withdrawn. Rs. 8,950 are bad and irrecoverable, which will shortly have to be remitted. Warrants

for the arrest of judgment-debtors have been issued for recovery of Rs. 1,584. Of the balance, some are covered by *kistbandis*, some are being amicably realized, and a large sum is not yet under execution. Rs. 95,953 were realized during the year under report.

Midnapore: Mohespore Estate, Rs. 73,491.—Of this sum Rs. 73,485 are due from the proprietors of the Basudebpore estate, which has recently been released from the hands of the Court of Wards The properties of the judgment-debtors have been attached and put up to sale. The balance is under process of recovery.

Rajshahi: Dighapatia Estate, eldest ward, Rs. 62,107.—Of this sum Rs. 16,063 are bad debts and are irrecoverable. The balance is under execution.

Rajshahi: Dighapatia Estate, younger ward, Rs. 68,291.—Of this amount the sum of Rs. 27,050 is irrecoverable on account of the indigent circumstances of the debtors. The balance, Rs. 41,241, is under execution and in process of recovery. The irrecoverable balances of both the branches of this estate being very large, and as the estate was released from the management of the Court of Wards on the 28th January last, it was not thought expedient to remit the amounts with a view to give the proprietors an opportunity of seeing for themselves that the amounts are really irrecoverable.

Rangpur: Rahamatpur estate, Rs. 19,170.—No explanations have been given by the manager as to the steps that have been taken for the realization of the decrees.

Bhagalpur: Sukhraj Roy's estate, Rs. 17,872.—Rs. 15,538 out of this sum are due from one Gajadhar Persad Singh and are irrecoverable, as the judgment-debtor is possessed of no property whatever. They will ultimately have to be remitted. The balance is under process of recovery.

Purnea: Khagra estate, Rs. 18,859.—This estate has recently come under management of the Court of Wards. During the year under report, a sum of Rs. 7,232 has been realized. The Manager complains of difficulty in realizing the decretal amounts through the Civil Court, as the Court peons having no chance of getting gratification from the Court of Wards often warn the judgment-debtors when decrees are about to be executed against them. The estate not having been surveyed and settled, the manager is not allowed to realize the rents under the Public Demands Recovery Act.

Gaya: Tikari estate, Rs. 2,19,218.—Of this sum, Rs. 36,564 are bad and irrecoverable, which have been reported for remission. For. realizing Rs. 11,165, warrants have been issued for the arrest of the judgment-debtors. Properties of debtors are being enquired into in some cases, and the rest is under execution. No steps could be taken for recovering decrees in some cases, as appeals in them are now pending.

Shahabad: Deo Kumar and Dharam Kumar's estate, Rs. 47,416.—Statement of decrees for the half-year ending March last, relating to this estate, has not yet been received.

Cuttack: Kujang estate, Rs. 15,362.—Of this amount the sum of Rs. 1,864 is bad and irrecoverable, which will have to be remitted shortly. For the recovery of Rs. 5,271 warrants for the arrest of the judgment-debtors have been issued. The rest is under process of recovery.

64. There were only two changes in the office of District Government Pleaders during the year under report. Maulvi Abdus Samad, B.L, was appointed Government Pleader of Saran, in the place of Babu Durga Persad, resigned, *vide* Government order No. 2063J., dated 2nd May 1893, and Babu Rajani Kant Chowdhry, B.L., was appointed Government Pleader of Dacca in the place of Babu Trailakya Nath Bose, resigned, *vide* Government order No. 4373J., dated 8th September 1893.

65. My report on the character and qualifications of Government Pleaders, based upon the opinions of District Judges and Collectors, will be submitted to Government in a separate letter.

66. I was in charge of this office throughout the year.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

T. T. ALLEN,

Supdt. and Remembrancer of Legal Affairs.

A.

Cases instituted to establish some substantial right.

	Total.	Decisions.							Pending.	
		Favourable.		Unfavourable.		Compromised, withdrawn, or remanded.				
1	2	3		4		5			6	
(2) Suits to establish a title to hold land rent-free or at a fixed assessment.										
	No.	No.	Value. Rs.	No.	Value. Rs.	No.	Value. Rs.		No.	Value. Rs.
High Court ...	18	1	2,095	...	...	...	...		17	473
Zillah appeals ...	16	1	40	...	...	14	424		1	500
Original suits ...	18	3	550	1	100	...	...		14	424
Total ...	52	5	2,685	1	100	14	424		32	1,397
(3) Suits for land arising out of settlement proceedings.										
High Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Zillah appeals ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...		1	60
Original suits ...	7	3	626	...	...	1	500		3	190
Total ...	8	3	626	...	...	1	500		4	250
(4) Suits to establish a title to engage for lands under settlement.										
High Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Original suits ..	1	...	...	...	...	...	...		1	140
Total ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...		1	140
(5) Suits to establish a title to vacant possession and properties claimed by or escheated to Government.										
High Court ...	2	...	...	...	...	2	...		...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Original suits ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...		1	1,090
Total ...	3	...	...	...	...	2	...		1	1,090
(6) Suits for reinstatement in office from which claimants have been dismissed by local agents or other authorities.										
High Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Original suits ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
(7) Suits for recovery of salaries, malikana, pension, fees, &c., &c.										
High Court	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Original suits ...	1	..	...	...	...	...	...		1	73
Total ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...		1	73
(8) Suits for recovery of sums unjustly realized, or for the recovery of rents unduly levied, or for the recovery of receipts of rent, or for exoneration from unjust demands.										
High Court	17	11	423	...	...	...	...		6	...
Zillah appeals ...	6	2	177	...	...	3	49		1	1,166
Original suits ...	17	3	626	3	6,866	1	200		10	1,286
Total ...	40	16	1,226	3	6,866	4	249		17	2,452
(9) Suits for wasilat, or for the recovery of costs, or surplus collections after adjustment of account, or value of property or purchase-money.										
High Court	2	...	...	...	...	...	...		2	3,919
Zillah appeals ...	3	2	603	1	198	...	...		...	...
Original suits ...	17	6	3,213	4	2,724	5	826		2	4,268
Total ...	22	8	3,816	5	2,922	5	826		4	8,187
(10) Suits to obtain possession of land, estates or other property, or for the recovery of rents or bond debts.										
High Court	43	12	79,395	8	13,361	2	2,770		21	8,59,072
Zillah appeals ...	63	74	16,364	5	1,235	1	100		23	9,652
Original suits ...	95	33	3,48,591	14	18,605	8	23,077		40	1,58,523
Total ...	201	79	4,44,350	27	33,201	11	25,947		84	5,27,247
(11) Suits in which Government is made a defendant *pro formâ*.										
High Court	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Original suits ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...		1	2,000
Total ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...		1	2,000
(12) Probate cases.										
High Court ...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...		2	...
Zillah appeals ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
Original suits ...	1	1	2,000	...	...	...	...		...	...
Total ...	3	1	2,000	...	...	...	...		2	...

B.

Cases instituted to obtain redress from official acts.

	Total.	Decisions.		Withdrawn, compromised, or remanded.	Pending.
		Favourable.	Unfavourable.		
1	2	3	4	5	6

(2) Suits for reversal of revenue sales on the plea of informality, non-liability, &c.

	No.	No.	Value.	No.	Value	No.	Value.	No.	Value.
			Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.
High Court	6	2	1,292	1	15,000	1	151	2	21,000
Zillah appeals ...	4	1	1,876	...	...	...	...	3	3,095
Original suits ...	25	12	43,579	4	14,113	...		9	57.109
Total ..	35	15	46,747	5	29,113	1	151	14	81,204

(3) Suits for the reversal of sales in execution of decrees on the plea of informality, non-liability, &c.

High Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.
Zillah appeals ...	4	4	148	...	..	...	. .	..	..
Original suits ...	30	12	12,954	4	8,780	3	557	11	17,878
Total ...	34	16	13,102	4	8,780	3	557	11	17,878

(4) Suits for the reversal of property pledged as security on the plea that the property sold was not liable, or on other pleas.

High Court ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Original suits ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..

(5) Suits to stay revenue sales in execution of decrees or sales of property pledged as security.

High Court ...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Original suits ...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...
Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

(6) Suits of third parties advancing claims to land or moveable property attached or sold by Government as belonging to others.

High Court	. .	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Zillah appeals ...	1	1	63	...	...	...	...	...	...
Original suits ...	3	3	298	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	4	4	361	...	...	...	...	...	...

(7) Suits improperly instituted against officers in their official capacity over which the Civil Court has no jurisdiction.

High Court	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
Original suits ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1,000
Total ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1,000

(8) Suits to contest order reversing sales, or regarding confirmation or quashing of butwarrahs or the imposition of fines.

High Court	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Original suits ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

(9) Suits for damages on account of acts done by Revenue or other officers.

High Court	1	...	...	...	...	...	..	1	1,000
Zillah appeals ...	5	1	1,500	...	...	...	...	4	279
Original suits ...	24	4	335	4	1,041	2	2,500	14	5,647
Total ...	30	5	1,835	4	1,041	2	2,500	19	6,926

C.

Cases in which Government is plaintiff.

	Total.	Decisions. Favourable		Decisions. Unfavourable		Withdrawn, compromised, or remanded.		Pending.	
1	2	3		4		5		6	
(2) Suits to establish a title to assess or levy enhanced assessment.									
	No.	No.	Value Rs.	No.	Value. Rs	No.	Value. Rs	No.	Value. Rs
High Court ..	..	...		...	.	..	...		
Zillah appeals ..	2	.		...			...	2	562
Original suits	4	1	500	1	62	.	..	3	5,479
Total ..	6	1	500	1	62		.	4	6,041
(3) Suits for the recovery of sums misappropriated from defaulters or their sureties.									
High Court ..	..			..	...	.	...	...	
Zillah appeals ...	..	..	..	...			...	...	
Original suits	...	..		.	...	..	..	...	.
Total .	..		...		...	..	...	...	...
(4) Suits for refund of money paid to parties not entitled to it.									
High Court	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Zillah appeals ..	.	.	...	...		..	.	.	...
Original suits ..	...	..	...	.	.	..	...	..	
Total ...	..		...	...	...	.	..	..	...
(5) Suits for the recovery of sums from parties or their sureties for non fulfilment of contracts									
High Court	1	1	12,100			...		..	...
Zillah appeals	...	..	..	...	.	...	...		.
Original suits ...	1	1	500	...	...	..	...	.	.
Total ...	2	2	12,600		.		..	..	
(6) Suits for possession of land, or for recovery of debt, or for damages.									
High Court	..	..		...		.	...	...	...
Zillah appeals ...	7	1	134	1	44	.	..	5	3,403
Original suits	33	21	2,138	4	116	1	4,400	7	25 369
Total ..	40	22	2,272	5	160	1	4,400	12	28,772
(7) Suits to impose fines for the infraction of salt laws.									
High Court		.	..	..	...	.	...	...	...
Zillah appeals	.	..	..	...			.	...	..
Original suits ..	2	1	701	1	900		...	.	..
Total .	2	1	701	1	900	...	...	...	...
(8) Land Acquisition cases under Act X of 1870.									
High Court ..	5	..	.	2	12,870	1	161	2	3,644
Zillah appeals			.					..	
Original suits ...	172	105	36,364	23	40,411	25	60,891	19	9,013
Total ...	177	105	36,364	25	53,281	26	61,052	21	12,657
(9) Interpleader suits under section 470, Act XIV of 1882.									
High Court	..	...		...		...	.		...
Zillah appeals	..	...	...			...	..		...
Original suits .	...	..		.	..	...	..	...	...
Total ...	...	...	...	...		.	...	...	..
(10) Cases under section 537 of the Civil Procedure Code.									
High Court ..	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	..	...
Zillah appeals ...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	..	
Original suits ...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...		...
Total	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...

D.

General Abstract Statement of Suits in the Civil Courts of Bengal in which Government is a party disposed of and pending in the year 1893-94.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
				ORIGINAL SUITS.									APPEAL SUITS.								
				DECIDED WITHIN THE YEAR.									DECIDED WITHIN THE YEAR.								
NAMES OF DISTRICTS.	Number of suits pending on the 31st March 1893.	Number of suits instituted from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.	Total.	In favour of Government.	Against Government.	Compromised.	Withdrawn.	Total decided.	Pending on the 31st March 1894.	Number of appeals pending on the 31st March 1893.	Number of appeals insituted from the 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.	Total.	In favour of Government.	Against Government.	Compromised.	Withdrawn.	Remanded.	Total decided.	Pending on the 31st March 1894.	Number of cases in which Government was cast twice.	REMARKS.
				Cases in which Government is plaintiff.	Cases in which Government is defendant.	Cases in which Government is plaintiff.	Cases in which Government is defendant.	Cases in which Government is plaintiff.	Cases in which Government is defendant.	Cases in which Government is plaintiff.	Cases in which Government is defendant.		Cases in which Government is appellant.	Cases in which Government is respondent.	Cases in which Government is appellant.	Cases in which Government is respondent.	Cases in which Government is appellant.	Cases in which Government is respondent.	Cases in which Government is appellant.	Cases in which Government is respondent.	
High Court ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	(a) 41	56	97	1	26	6	5	...	2	1	1	...	2	44	53	6	
Burdwan ...	3	19	22	14	2	...	1	...	1	...	..	18	4	1	..	1	...	...	...	1	.	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	
Birbhum ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Bankura	4	2	6	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	5	1	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	
Midnapore ...	5	28	33	12	7	3	1	..	2	...	..	25	8	1	7	8	4	..	3	.	...	...	...	..	...	...	7	1	3	
Hooghly ...	8	9	17	2	6	...	5	...	1	...	...	14	3	1	6	7	5	1	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	1	..	
24-Parganas ...	(b)52	84	136	76	7	9	4	2	...	21	1	120	16	1	4	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	
Nadia ...	5	4	9	...	3	...	3	...	1	...	..	7	2	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	
Murshidabad ...	2	1	3	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	3	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jessore ..	3	4	7	1	2	...	...	...	2	...	...	5	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Khulna ...	1	7	8	1	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Rajshahi	4	1	5	...	1	...	3	...	...	...	...	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Dinajpur	...	5	5	..	1	...	...	...	2	...	1	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jalpaiguri ...	1	...	1	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Darjeeling	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Rangpur	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	
Bogra	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Pabna	7	3	10	...	8	...	...	...	1	...	...	9	1	10	4	20	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	11	...	
Dacca	(c) 17	22	39	13	3	7	5	...	3	1	...	32	7	1	3	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	3	...	
Mymensingh ..	8	10	18	..	1	1	1	..	...	...	...	3	15	2	1	3	..	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	1	
Faridpur	5	10	15	1	5	...	..	.	...	...	.	6	9	1	1	2	1	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	
Backergunge ...	5	5	10	...	2	...	3	...	.	...	...	5	5	1	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	2	...	
Tippera	2	19	21	.	...	...	..	...	2	...	...	2	10	30	...	30	...	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	29	1	...	
Noakhali ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	.	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	1	..	...	
Chittagong ..	12	20	32	1	19	...	6	...	...	...	...	26	6	1	9	10	2	4	...	1	...	...	..	...	...	1	8	2	...	
Patna	8	10	18	2	3	5	1	2	2	...	...	15	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Gaya	2	...	2	1	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	1	1	..	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	
Shahabad	6	9	15	3	5	...	...		...	...	..	8	7	...	2	2	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	2	...	
Saran	2	3	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	5	3	...	3	2	1	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	
Champaran ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	
Muzaffarpur ...	3	4	7	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	2	5	2	...	2	1	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	
Darbhanga ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mongbyr .	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Bhágalpur ..	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	
Purnea	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Malda	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	
Sonthal Parganas ..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Cuttack	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	
Balasore	...	2	2	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	..	2	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Puri	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Hazáribágh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Lohardaga ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	
Palamau ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Manbhum ...	...	4	4	...	3	...	...	...	1	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Singhbhum ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	107	288	455	123	81	28	35	4	18	22	2	318	157	106	102	208	17	57	10	8	...	2	1	1	...	20	116	92	10	

(a) The difference of two cases is owing to Government not having appeared in them.
(b) The difference of one case is owing to its having been inadvertantly shown as pending in the last year's statement.
(c) The difference of two cases is owing to Government not having appeared is one and the other having been erroneously shown as pending in the last year's statement.

E.

Statement showing the amount expended in litigation as contrasted with sums actually realized by Government under decrees of Court in the Revenue Department from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.

Divisions.	Districts.	Nature of receipts.				Nature of disbursements.					
		Decrees in civil suits.	Decrees in Privy Council appeals.	Decrees in miscellaneous cases.	Total.	Amount, including costs, awarded against Government in regular suits.	In prosecution of suits.	In defence of suits.	In Privy Council appeals.	Miscellaneous expenses.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Burdwan	Burdwan	102		...	102	...	3	301	...	...	304
	Birbhum	4	...	..	4	...	...	...	...	...	.
	Bankura	141	...	...	141	...	18	130	...	57	205
	Midnapore	225	...	...	225	25	497	261	...	177	960
	Hooghly	237	...	...	237	53	106	1,086	...	...	1,245
Presidency	24-Parganas	76	...	...	76	58	1,448	962	...	49	2,537
	Nadia	...	...	...	...	...	...	64	...	13	77
	Murshidabad	20	...	...	20	...	10	141	...	30	181
	Jessore	...	...	...	...	617	...	212	...	60	889
	Khulna	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Rajshahi	Rajshahi	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Dinajpur	212	...	...	212	...	...	100	...	...	100
	Jalpaiguri	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Darjeeling	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
	Rangpur	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...
	Bogra	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Pabna	538	...	...	538	...	...	8,233	...	46	8,279
Dacca	Dacca	216	...	275	491	775	26	411	...	28	1,240
	Mymensingh	345	...	...	345	17	121	196	...	1,220	1,554
	Faridpur	54	...	2	56	26,488	268	388	...	1,104	28,248
	Backergunge	67	.	...	67	173	361	...	...	14	548
Chittagong	Tippera	197	...	...	197	114	110	111	...	1,265	1,600
	Noakhali	228	...	...	228	...	9	67	..	...	76
	Chittagong	1,737	...	...	1,737	153	259	2,683	...	134	3,229
Patna	Patna	9	...	...	9	...	...	...	...	235	235
	Gaya	...	...	...	...	...	354	50	...	46	450
	Shahabad	14	...	...	14	.	...	65	...	1	66
	Saran	2,943	...	...	2,943	...	25	...	...	...	25
	Champaran	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Muzaffarpur	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	...	...	20
	Darbhanga	...	...	...	...	...	900	...	...	...	900
Bhagalpur	Monghyr	8	...	...	8	...	...	137	...	...	137
	Bhagalpur	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	48	48
	Purnea	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Malda	627	...	...	627	...	...	2,111	...	...	2,111
	Sonthal Parganas	...	...	...	...	...	1,224	500	...	...	1,724
Orissa	Cuttack	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	60	60
	Balasore	...	...	...	...	...	156	...	...	...	156
	Puri	5	...	...	5	..	...	1,500	..	...	1,500
Chota Nagpur.	Hazaribagh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Lohardaga	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Palamau	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Manbhum	...	...	...	...	...	15	14	...	...	29
	Singhbhum	658	...	...	658	...	...	658	...	...	658
	Total	8,663	...	280	8,943	28,493	5,210	20,401	...	4,587	59,391

F.

Statement showing the amount expended in litigation as contrasted with sums actually realized by Government under decrees of Court in the Salt and Opium Departments from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.

(Blank.)

G.

General Abstract Statement showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favour of Government in the Revenue Department was realized and what was outstanding on 1st April 1894.

Divisions.	Districts.	Outstanding on the 1st April 1893.			Awarded in favour of Government from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.			Total.			Realized during 1893-94.			Balance.			Remitted by competent authority.			Net Balance.		
		Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Burdwan	Burdwan	537	..	...	60	1	7	597	1	7	94	1	7	503	...	..	203	...	..	210	.	.
	Bankura	228	22	...	...	11	12	228	33	12	128	6	7	100	27	12	..	...	...	100	27	12
	Birbhum	..	...	...	...	.	4	..	...	4	..	...	4	..	.	.	...	...	.	...	.	...
	Midnapore	161	...	55	261	9	...	422	9	55	208	8	9	214	1	46	78	...	...	136	1	46
	Hooghly	1,327	...	4	656	6	22	1,983	6	26	207	6	24	1,776	...	2	.	...	...	1,776	...	2
Presidency	24-Parganas	738	...	68	212	...	10	950	...	78	65	...	11	885	..	67	...	...	...	885	...	67
	Khulna	1	.	86	6	...	1	7	..	87	...	...	...	7	...	87	..	.	...	7	...	87
	Nadia	..	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	.	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Jessore	(a)33	...	(a)23	...	...	59	33	...	82	.	...	...	33	...	82	33	...	23	...	...	59
	Murshidabad	85	..	(b)706	...	...	...	85	...	706	20	...	...	65		706	...	...	...	65	...	706
Rajshahi	Dinajpur	54	...	382	...	...	58	54	...	440	54	...	158	...	...	282	...	...	...	...	..	282
	Rajshahi																					
	Rangpur	..	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Bogra	..	..	..	...	...		...	...		...	..		.	..		...	...	...	...	..	
	Pabna	..	42	448	...	74	1,310	...	116	1,758	...	90	448	...	26	1,310	...	...	...	...	26	1,310
	Darjeeling	...	...	..	..	...	...		...	...	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Jalpaiguri	378	...	.	...	...	...	378	...	...	...	...	.	378	...	...	...	...	...	378	...	...
Dacca	Dacca	957	...	376	240	...	58	1,097	...	434	121	...	370	976	..	64	...	...	...	976	...	64
	Faridpur	2,384	...	29	1,610	...	123	3,994	...	152	49	...	7	3,945	...	145	..	...	...	3,945	...	145
	Backerzunge	299	...	...	46	...	...	345	...	.	67	...	.	278	...	...	...	...	...	278	...	...
	Mymensingh	291	29	25	291	...	8	582	29	33	291	29	25	291	...	8	..	...	...	291	...	8
Chittagong	Tippera	113	14	351	906	6	89	1,019	20	450	129	6	62	890	14	388	31	2	7	859	12	381
	Noakhali	434	...	.	251	.	.	685	...	...	228	.	...	457	...	..	...	...	...	457	...	...
	Chittagong	704	3	13	1,504	55	78	2,208	58	91	1,622	23	62	586	5	29	...	...	...	586	5	29
Patna	Patna	788	...	13	153	...	...	941	..	12	9	...	...	932	...	12	...	...	...	932	...	12
	Gaya	573	48	55	340	31	..	913	79	55	...	...	...	913	79	55	...	...	...	913	79	55
	Shahabad	175	...	...	887	...	...	1,062	...	.	14		...	1,048	...	...	175	...	...	873	..	...
	Darbhanga	986	...	48	..	..	...	986	...	48	...	..	..	986	...	48	...	...	...	986	...	48
	Muzaffarpur	...		...	153	4	..	153	4	...	..	..	...	153	4	...	...	...	..	153	4	...
	Saran	4,968	260	50	50	99	22	5,038	359	72	2,662	268	13	2,376	91	59	438	11	2	1,938	80	57
	Champaran	Statement returned for correction—not received back yet.																				
Bhagalpur	Monghyr	926	..	39	.	...	15	926	...	54	...	...	8	926	...	46	..	...	...	926	...	46
	Bhagalpur	...	...	3		..	52	...	...	55	...	...	3	...	...	52	...	...	...	...	...	52
	Purnea	...	...	...	.	..	...	..	..	...	..	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Malda	538	...	...		59	30	538	59	30	538	59	30	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Sonthal Parganas	...	...	...	12,100	244	1,686	12,100	244	1,686	...	...	...	12,100	244	1,686	...	...	...	12,100	244	1,686
Orissa	Cuttack	..	...	...	612	23	2	612	23	2	...	...	...	612	23	2	...	...	...	612	23	2
	Balasore	...	...	...	809	3	...	809	3	..	...	...	...	809	3	...	...	...	...	809	3	...
	Puri	...	..	190	...	...	14	...	...	204	...	...	5	...	...	199	...	...	...	..	...	199
Chota Nagpur	Hazaribagh	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Lohardaga	...	...	...	94	...	16	94	...	10	...	...	..	94	...	10	...	...	...	94	...	10
	Palamau	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Manbhum	..	...	...	...	...	...		...		..	...	...	..	...	...	..	..	..	...	...	..
	Singhbhum	...	...	...	658	...	...	658	...	...	658	...	...									
	Total	17,598	418	2,973	21,899	625	3,677	39,497	1,043	6,650	7,164	526	1,253	32,333	517	5,397	1,048	13	32	31,285	504	5,365

(a) Last year's statement was not received. | (b) The increase is due to the inclusion of the value of decretal property which was wrongly excluded from the last year's statement.

H.

General Abstract Statement showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favour of Government in the Salt and Opium Departments was realized and what was outstanding on the 1st April 1894.

(Blank.)

I.

General Abstract Statement of Suits in the Civil Courts of Bengal in which the Court of Wards is a party disposed of and pending in the year 1893-94.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
	ORIGINAL SUITS									APPEAL SUITS										
				DECIDED WITHIN THE YEAR.									DECIDED WITHIN THE YEAR.							
				In favour of Court of Wards.	Against Court of Wards.	Compromised		Withdrawn.					In favour of Court of Wards.	Against Court of Wards.	Compromised.	Withdrawn.	Remanded.			
NAMES OF DISTRICTS.	Number of suits pending on the 31st March 1893.	Number of suits instituted from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.	Total.	Cases in which Court of Wards is plaintiff.	Cases in which Court of Wards is defendant.	Cases in which Court of Wards is plaintiff.	Cases in which Court of Wards is defendant.	Cases in which Court of Wards is plaintiff.	Cases in which Court of Wards is defendant.	Total decided.	Number of suits pending on the 31st March 1894.	Number of appeals pending on the 31st March 1893.	Number of appeals instituted from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.	Total.	Cases in which Court of Wards is appellant.	Cases in which Court of Wards is respondent.	Cases in which Court of Wards is appellant.	Cases in which Court of Wards is respondent.	Cases in which Court of Wards is appellant.	Cases in which Court of Wards is respondent.	Cases in which Court of Wards is appellant.	Cases in which Court of Wards is respondent.	Cases in which Court of Wards is appellant.	Cases in which Court of Wards is respondent.	Total decided.	Number of appeals pending on the 31st March 1894.	Number of cases in which Court of Wards was cast twice.
High Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	(a) 29	19	48	2	8	1	4	...	...	1	1	..	2	19	29	1
Burdwan ...	32	407	439	347	7	2	5	...	...	2	2	365	74	2	11	13	7	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	8	5	1
Birbhum ..	(b) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...
Bankura ...	35	177	212	144	1	16	2	...	...	1	...	164	48	10	11	21	2	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	16	2
Midnapore ...	12	69	81	51	3	...	6	2	3	1	1	67	14	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
Hooghly ...	3	185	188	161	...	4	...	1	...	1	...	167	21	5	3	8	2	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	6	2	2
24-Parganas ...	6	35	41	19	4	...	7	...	1	...	...	31	10	3	6	9	1	2	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	5	4	...
Nadia ...		14	14	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	2	3	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	..	3
Murshidabad ...	(c) 1	7	8	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
Jessore ...	(d) 2	55	57	47	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	51	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Khulna ...	(d) 2	25	27	26	1	.	...	...	...	...	...	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Rajshahi ...	(e) ...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
Dinajpur ...	2	9	11	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Jalpaiguri ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Darjeeling ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Rangpur ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bogra ...	..	57	57	55	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	57	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Pabna ...	(f) 2	25	27	15	2	...	...	...	...	1	...	18	9	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...
Dacca ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mymensingh ...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Faridpur ...	(g) 1	9	10	3	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	4	6	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
Backergunge ...	..	54	54	21	1	5	1	...	1	1	...	30	24	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	..
Tippera ...	(h) 11	19	30	4	5	...	2	...	3	...	1	15	15	6	2	8	...	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	1	...
Noakhali ...	1	19	20	9	2	1	3	...	...	...	...	15	5	(i) 1	4	5	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	1	3
Chittagong ...	4	15	19	6	5	...	2	...	1	...	...	14	5	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...
Patna ...	1	3	4	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	2	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	1	1	...
Gaya ...	(j) 22	68	90	54	3	2	3	2	1	4	2	71	19	9	3	12	6	2	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	1	...
Shahabad ...	...	137	137	96	16	...	5	...	...	...	...	117	20	(k) 1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	..	...
Saran ...	...	5	5	3	...	...	...	..	1	...	...	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Champaran ...	...	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...
Muzaffarpur ...	3	38	41	17	1	13	...	...	...	...	...	31	10	1	8	9	1	..	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	7	1
Darbhanga ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Monghyr ...	...	9	9	8	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bhagalpur ...	...	1	1		...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	3	3	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	2
Purnea ...	..	180	180	77	1	25	...	...	..	16	...	119	61	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Malda ...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sonthal Parganas ...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Cuttack .	..	4	4	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	1	1	1	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...
Balasore ...	2	...	2	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Puri ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Hazaribagh ...	(l) 9	24	33	14	1	2	...	...	...	...	1	18	15	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	..
Lohardaga ...	4	23	27	7	...	...	..	1	1	...	...	9	18	(m) 20	7	27	5	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	18	2
Palamau ...	Statement not received.																												
Manbhum ...	2	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...
Singhbhum ...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	157	1,680	1,837	1,316	60	71	37	8	12	26	7	1,439	398	92	87	179	28	19	18	7	...	...	2	1	1	3	85	94	18

(a) The difference of two cases is owing to no appearance having been entered in them by the Court of Wards.
(b) The two cases shown as pending in the last year's statement have been settled out of Court.
(c) This case was shown as pending in Tippera it has now been transferred.
(d) The difference of one case is owing to a mistake in the last year's statement.
(e) The case shown as pending at the close of the last year has not been accounted for.
(f) The difference of one case is due to the Court of Wards not having appeared in it.
(g) The difference of four cases is owing to one case having been shown twice over in the last year's statement and the other two not having been accounted for by the Manager.
(h) The difference of four cases is owing to Court of Wards not having appeared in three and one having been shown at Murshidabad.
(i) The discrepancy of one case is owing to a High Court appeal having been included in the last year's statement.
(j) The difference of three cases is owing to a mistake in the Manager's return of the last year.
(k) The appeals shown in the last year's statement have not been accounted for by the Manager.
(l) The difference of four cases is owing to their having been shown twice over through mistake in the last year's statement.
(m) The difference of one case is owing to a mistake in last year's statement.

J.

Statement showing the amount expended in litigation as contrasted with the sums actually realized by the Court of Wards under decrees of Court from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Nature of receipts.				Nature of disbursements.					
		Decrees in civil suits.	Decrees in Privy Council appeals.	Decrees in miscellaneous cases.	Total.	Amount, including costs, awarded against Court of Wards in regular suits.	In prosecution of suits.	In defence of suits.	In Privy Council appeals.	Miscellaneous expenses.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Burdwan ...	Burdwan Raj estate ...	80,132	...	15,821	95,953	4,182	16,422	3,343	...	1,242	25,189
Midnapore	Belki estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	8
	Beluria ,, ...	Statement not received.									
	Beraberia ,, ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	6
	Pathra ,, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
	Tarkua ,, ...	306	...	...	306	...	273	51	...	60	384
	Malighati ,, ...	10	...		10	...	56	...	...	153	209
	Khettrohat ,, ...	Statement not received.									
	Basudebpur ,, ...	4,036	...	...	4,036	8	61	287	...	402	758
	Barutter Hinglee ,, ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	..
	Nayagram ,, ...	1,105	...	...	1,105	...	13	...	...	...	13
	Moheshpore ,, ...	...	...	...	...	...	58	17	...	265	340
	Sujamutta ,, ...	1,169	...	113	1,282	...	87	...	...	...	87
	Total ...	6,626	...	113	6,739	8	556	361	...	880	1,805
24-Parganas	Baranagore estate ...	Statement not received.									
	Bhawanipur (Boroda Prosad Roy's) estate.	11,873	...	...	11,873	170	935	387	...	7,478	8,9770
	Joynagoru estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	11,873	...	...	11,873	170	935	387	...	7,478	8,970
Murshidabad.	Kassimbazar (A. N. Roy's) estate.	3,359	...	...	3,359	64	5,001	104	...	1,837	7,006
	Sydabad estate	Statement not received.									
	Total ...	3,359	...	...	3,359	64	5,001	104	...	1,837	7,006
Khulna ...	Syudpore trust estate ...	40	...	...	40	...	761	36	...	...	797
Rajshahi ...	Dighapatia estate (eldest ward).	5,826	...	18	5,844	81	3,042	377	...	...	3,500
	Dighapatia estate (three younger wards).	6,930	...	...	6,930	1,520	2,118	131	...	...	3,769
	Talanda estate ...	136	...	...	136	...	22	...	...	...	22
	Total ...	12,892	...	18	12,910	1,601	5,182	508	...	...	7,291
Dinajpur ...	Sunkerpore estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Maldwar ,, ...	663	...	...	663	...	124	37	...	...	161
	Total ...	663	...	...	663	...	124	37	...	...	161
Jalpaiguri	Pudmabati estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.
Rangpur	Burdhankotee estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Rahamatpur ,, ...	251	...	...	251	890	...	...	...	...	890
	I. C. Roy's trust ,, ...	Statements not received.									
	Tepa ,, ...										
	Total ...	251	...	...	251	890	...	...	...	...	890
Mymensingh.	Pogose estate ...	Statements not received.									
	Hara Gobind Lushkor's estate.										
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...
Faridpur ...	Koneswar estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	...	...	29
Backergunge.	Tarak Kumar Chakerbutty's estate.	Statements not received.									
	Bepin Behary Das' estate.										
	Naba Durga Debya's estate.										
	Dakhin Shahbazpur estate.	15	...	1,124	1,139	12	485	102	...	...	599
	Haturia estate	...	...	556	556	197	147	386	...	...	730
	Total ...	15	...	1,680	1,695	209	632	488	..	...	1,329
Noakhali	G. K. Mazumdar and Shoshi Mukhi's estate.	28	...	...	28	...	60	...	...	35	95
	Courjon estate	31	...	3	34	...	332	118	...	90	549
	Panameah's estate ..	Statement not received.									
	Bhabanjoy Shaha's estate.	85	...	270	355	...	149	22	...	193	364
	Total ...	144	...	273	417	...	541	140	...	327	1,008

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Nature of receipts.				Nature of disbursements.					
		Decrees in civil suits.	Decrees in Privy Council appeals.	Decrees in miscellaneous cases.	Total.	Amount, including costs, awarded against Court of Wards in regular suits.	In prosecution of suits.	In defence of suits.	In Privy Council appeals.	Miscellaneous expenses.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Chittagong	Hedait Ali Chowdhry's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Magan Das Roy's estate	10	...	...	10	106	12	...	...	...	118
	Jogesh Chandra Roy's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Raj Kissen Banerjee's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Salamat Ali Khan's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Abdul Fateh Khan's estate.	...	...	...	...	133	...	...	...	...	133
	Latifa Khatoon's estate	...	...	...	...	30	...	...	...	...	30
	Raja Harish Chandra Roy's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Mong Raja Keoja Phru's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Muzaffar Ahmed Chowdhry's estate.	245	...	...	245	...	267	...	...	...	267
	Ram Ratan Tewari's estate.	8,734	...	...	8,734	1	493	...	...	...	494
	Surendro Bejoy Roy's estate.	2,015	...	...	2,015	115	959	...	...	...	1,074
	Total ...	11,004	...	...	11,004	885	1,731	...	...	...	2,116
Patna ...	Bhagwant Narayan Singh's estate.	Statements not received.									
	Kuer Bhagwat Parsad's estate.										
	Hurdi estate of Krishna Parsad Narayan Singh										
	Bishunpur estate ...	59	...	..	59	...	333	...	...	1	334
	Total ...	59	...	...	59	...	333	...	...	1	334
Gaya ...	Tikari estate	41,390	...	...	41,390	1,777	3,036	300	...	5,717	10,830
	Deo Raj „	114	...	...	114	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	41,504	...	...	41,504	1,777	3,036	300	...	5,717	10,830
Shahabad ...	Deo Kumar and Dharam Kumar's estate.	2,904	...	40	2,944	...	1,536	937	...	40	2,513
	Chand estate of Hurbans N. Singh	Statements not received.									
	Sabar estate										
	Total ...	2,904	...	40	2,944	...	1,536	937	...	40	2,513
Muzaffarpur	Sheohur estate	Statement not received.									
Darbhanga...	Nurhan estate	Statement not received.									
Bhagalpur	Lugma estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Sukhraj Roy's estate ...	698	...	.	698	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	698	...	...	698	...	...	...	...	...	...
Purnea ..	Khagra estate	...	...	7,232	7,232	..	2,212	180	...	2,608	5,000
Cuttack	Kanika estate	Statement not received.									
	Kujang „	2,887	...	...	2,887	...	2,684	...	...	2,452	5,136
	Total ...	2,887	...	...	2,887	...	2,684	...	...	2,452	5,136
Balasore ...	Jamkunda estate ..	Statement not received.									
	Shahabandar „ ...	427	...	...	427	...	320	1,412	...	57	1,789
	Total ...	427	...	...	427	...	320	1,412	...	57	1,789
Puri ...	Wards' estates	Statement not received.									
Manbhum ...	Jhalda estate	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Jheria „	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	9
	Begôonkoodar estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	1	48	...	...	49
	Nagarkeari „ ...	...	...	...	...	...	42	2	...	5	49
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	43	59	...	5	107
Palamau ...	Punjrikallan estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Hazaribagh	Dhunwar estate... ...	...	...	16	16	...	...	...	...	1,751	1,751
	Satgaon and other encumbered estates.	...	...	...	...	...	1,326	...	...	627	1,953
	Total ...	...	...	16	16	...	1,326	...	...	2,378	3,704
	Grand Total ...	1,75,478	...	25,193	2,00,671	9,286	43,375	8,321	...	25,022	86,004

K.

General Abstract Statement showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favour of Court of

Division.	District.	Names of Estates.	Outstanding on 1st April 1893.			Awarded in favour of Court of Wards from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.			Total.		
			Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Burdwan	Burdwan ...	Burdwan Raj estate ..	1,73,542	27,804	12,120	51,645	15,512	11,557	2,25,187	43,376	23,677
	Midnapore ...	Belki estate ..	506	...	22	...	20	8	506	20	30
		Beluria ,,									Statement
		Beraberia ,, ...	...	...	7	...	...	...	...	...	7
		Pathra ,, ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	...
		Tarkua ,, ...	...	...		1,391	...	216	1,391	...	216
		Malighati ,, ..	177	...	320	370	...	218	547	...	538
		Khetrohat ,, ...									Statement
		Basudebpur ,, ...	6,305	1,147	1,123	2,881	135	58	9,186	1,282	1,181
		Barutter Hinglee ,, ...							..	...	...
		Nayagram ,, ...	5,072	675	315	432	60	16	5,504	735	331
		Moheshpur ,, ...	...	...	...	51,600	21,873	18	51,600	21,873	18
		Sujamutta ,, ...	...	...		4,859	904	724	4,859	903	724
		Total ...	12,060	1,822	1,787	61,533	23,082	1,258	73,593	24,904	3,045
Presidency	24-Parganas ...	Baranagore estate									Statement
		Bhawanipur (Boroda Prasad Roy's) estate,	15,072	1,452	1,204	1,560	292	455	16,632	1,744	1,659
		Joynagore estate	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	..
		Total ...	15,072	1,452	1,204	1,560	292	455	16,632	1,744	1,659
	Murshidabad	Kassimbazar (A. N. Roy's) estate.	4,903	302	177	520	160	267	5,423	462	444
		Sydabad estate ...									Statement
		Total ...	4,903	302	177	520	160	267	5,423	462	444
	Khulna ..	Syudpore Trust estate	...	...	265	...	...	41	...	...	306
Rajshahi	Rajshahi ...	Dighapatia estate (oldest ward).	45,772	5,552	953	13,060	1,692	922	58,832	7,244	1,875
		Dighapatia estate (three younger wards).	62,739	19,185	3,483	5,923	173	1,022	68,662	19,358	4,505
		Talanda estate ...	(a) 463	45	(a) 5	...	2	21	463	47	26
		Total ...	1,08,974	24,782	4,441	18,983	1,867	1,965	1,27,957	26,649	6,406
	Dinajpur ...	Sunkerpore estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	95	...	...	95
		Maldwar ,, ...	673	14	178	469	512	150	1,142	526	328
		Total ...	673	14	178	469	512	245	1,142	526	423
	Jalpaiguri	Pudmabati estate ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Dacca	Rangpur ..	Burdhankotee estate..	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	..
		Rahamatpur ,, ...	...	...	...	12,342	5,914	1,165	12,342	5,914	1,165
		I. C. Roy's trust ,, ...									Statements
		Tepa estate									
		Total ...	...	...	...	12,342	5,914	1,165	12,342	5,914	1,165
	Mymensingh ...	Pogose estate									Statements
		Hara Gobind Lushkor's estate.									
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...
	Faridpur ...	Koneswar estate ...	1,170	446	35	344	300	206	1,514	746	241
	Backergunge ...	Tarak Kumar Chackerbutty's estate.									Statements
		Bepin Behary Das' estate.									
		Naba Durga Debya's estate.									
		Dakhin Shahbazpur estate.	9,749	12,871	504	890	355	477	10,639	13,226	981
		Haturia estate ..	...	...	...	2,717	673	804	2,717	673	804
		Total ...	9,749	12,871	504	3,607	1,028	1,281	13,356	13,899	1,785

(a) The difference is owing to a mistake

Wards in the Revenue Department was realized, and what was outstanding on the 1st April 1894.

Realized during 1893-94.			Balance.			Remitted by competent authority.			Net balance.		
Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
68,684	17,212	10,057	1,56,503	26,164	13,620	3,124	937	836	1,53,379	25,227	12,784
...	...	...	506	20	30	...	...	...	506	20	30
not received.											
...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	...	...	...	7
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
306	...	...	1,085	...	216	88	..	..	997	...	216
...	...	10	547	...	528	...	...	...	547	...	528
not received.											
5,843	135	58	5,343	1,147	1,123	...	...	...	5,343	1,147	1,123
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
1,066	26	13	4,438	709	318	...	...	...	4,438	709	318
...	...	...	51,600	21,873	18	...	...	...	51,600	21,873	18
1,120	47	115	3,739	947	609	2,405	632	69	1,334	315	540
6,335	208	196	67,258	24,696	2,849	2,493	632	69	64,765	24,064	2,780
not received.											
9,544	1,440	889	7,088	304	770	2,063	...	14	5,025	304	756
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
9,544	1,440	889	7,088	304	770	2,063	...	14	5,025	304	756
3,050	114	195	2,373	348	249	230	114	173	2,143	234	76
not received.											
3,050	114	195	2,373	348	249	230	114	173	2,143	234	76
...	...	40	...	...	266	...	...	...	...	...	266
4,818	145	881	54,014	7,099	994	...	...	...	54,014	7,099	994
5,553	140	1,237	63,109	19,218	3,268	2,833	14,471	...	60,276	4,747	3,268
128	3	5	335	44	21	137	14	6	198	33	15
10,499	288	2,123	1,17,458	26,361	4,283	2,970	14,482	6	1,14,488	11,879	4,277
...	...	...	...	...	95	...	...	...	...	...	95
475	83	105	667	443	223	..	...	...	667	443	223
475	83	105	667	443	318	...	...	...	667	443	318
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
100	85	66	12,242	5,829	1,099	...	...	...	12,242	5,829	1,099
not received.											
100	85	66	12,242	5,829	1,099	...	...	...	12,242	5,829	1,099
not received.											
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	1,514	746	241	...	...	...	1,514	746	241
not received.											
...	888	251	10,639	12,338	730	9,588	11,751	318	1,051	587	412
439	41	76	2,278	632	728	...	...	...	2,278	632	728
439	929	327	13,017	12,970	1,458	9,588	11,751	318	3,329	1,219	1,140

calculation in the Manager's statement of last year.

Divisions.	Districts.	Names of Estates.	Outstanding on 1st April 1893.			Awarded in favour of Court of Wards from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.			Total.		
			Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Chittagong.	Noakhali	G. K. Mazumdar and Shoshi Mukhi's estate.	95	37	62	167	...	6	262	37	68
		Courjon estate ...	..	...	31	...	...	37	...	..	68
		Panameah's estate ...									Statement
		Bhabanjoy Shahi's estate.	332	516	715	88	2	103	420	518	818
		Total ...	427	553	808	255	2	146	682	555	954
	Chittagong ...	Hedait Ali Chowdhry's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Magan Das Roy's estate.	213	6	15	...	2	5	213	8	20
		Jogesh Chandra Roy's estate.	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Rajkissen Banerjee's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Salamat Ali Khan's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Abdul Fateh Khan's estate.	114	3	2	...	...	...	114	3	2
		Latifa Khatoon's estate.	153	1	3	...	...	...	153	1	3
		Raja Harish Chandra Roy's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Mong Raja Keoja Phru's estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...
		Muzaffar Ahmed Chowdhry's estate.	243	3	8	1,116	6	25	1,359	9	33
		Ram Ratan Tewari's estate.	8,320	10	10	...	91	303	8,320	101	313
		Surendra Bejoy Roy's estate.	1,745	30	105	1,317	60	416	3,062	90	521
		Total .	10,788	53	143	2,433	159	749	13,221	212	892
Patna.	Patna ...	Bhagwant Narajan Singh's estate.									Statements
		Kuor Bhagbat Prosad's estate.									
		Hurdi estate									
		Bishunpur estate ...	...	...	...	1,803	...	1,186	1,803	...	1,186
		Total ...	...		...	1,803	..	1,186	1,803		1,186
	Gaya ...	Tikari estate	1,64,400	25,476	6,752	63,138	10,970	1,035	2,27,538	36,446	7,787
		Deo Raj ,, ...	...	..	216	...	...	.	.		216
		Total ...	1,64,400	25,476	6,968	63,138	10,970	1,035	2,27,538	36,446	8,003
	Shahabad ...	Deo Kumar and Dharam Kumar's estate.	26,297	7,462	12,790	3,990	1,006	1,576	30,287	8,468	14,366
		Chand estate ...									Statements
		Sabar ,, ...									
		Total ..	26,297	7 462	12,790	3,990	1,006	1,576	30,287	8,468	14,366
	Muzafferpur ...	Sheohur estate ..									Statement
	Darbhanga ...	Nurhan estate									Statement
Bhagalpur.	Bhagalpur ...	Lugma estate ..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	..	..
		Sukhraj Roy's estate	36 247	4,913	100	884	891	...	37,131	5,804	100
		Total ..	36 247	4,913	100	884	891	..	37,131	5,804	100
Orissa.	Purnea ...	Khagra estate	...	...	...	19,021	3,881	3,189	19 091	3,881	3,189
	Cuttack ..	Kanika estate ..									Statement
		Kujang ,, ...	6,609	7,526	1,744	1,084	1,323	426	7,693	8,849	2,170
	Balasore ...	Jamkunda estate ..									Statement
		Shahabandar ,, ..	5,379	347	107	...	2,119	206	5,379	2,466	313
		Total ..	5,379	347	107	...	2,119	206	5,379	2,466	313
	Puri ..	Wards' estates ...									Statement
	Manbhum ..	Jhalda estate ..	118	7	30	...	...	...	118	7	30
		Jheria ,, ..	5	...	.	...	...	..	5	...	...
		Begoonkoodar estate	507	50	16	...	...	...	507	50	16
		Nagarkeari estate .	372	...	3	...	...	...	372	..	3
		Total ...	1,002	57	49	...	.	...	1,002	57	49
	Palamau ...	Punjrikallan estate ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...
	Hazáribágh ...	Dhunwar estate ...	234	...	(a) 228	...	...	50	234	...	278
		Satgaon and other encumbered estates.	3,595	..	453	...	...	...	3,595	..	453
		Total ..	3,829	...	681	...	...	50	3,829	...	731
		Grand Total	5,41,121	1,15,940	44,101	2,43,611	60,018	27 003	8,24,732	1,84,088	71,104

(a) The difference is owing to a mistake in

Realized during 1893-94.			Balance.			Remitted by competent authority.			Net balance.		
Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
28	...	...	234	37	68	...	...	...	234	37	68
...	...	34	...	...	34	...	...	...	...	...	34
not received.											
68	122	165	352	396	653	...	...	...	352	396	653
96	122	199	586	433	755	...	...	...	586	433	755
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
3	2	5	210	6	15	...	...	...	210	6	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	114	3	2	...	...	...	114	3	2
...	...	...	153	1	3	...	...	...	153	1	3
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
217	6	22	1,142	3	11	...	...	...	1,142	3	11
6,320	101	313	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
1,684	56	275	1,378	34	246	...	...	...	1,378	34	246
10,224	165	615	2,997	47	277	...	...	...	2,997	47	277
not received.											
50	...	...	1,744	...	1,186	...	...	...	1,744	...	1,186
59	...	...	1,744	...	1,186	...	...	...	1,744	...	1,186
37,745	3,370	275	1,89,793	33,076	7,512	6,693	4,460	10	1,83,100	28,616	7,502
...	...	114	...	...	102	...	...	...	...	...	102
37,745	3,370	389	1,89,793	33,076	7,614	6,693	4,460	10	1,83,100	28,616	7,604
1,909	385	650	28,378	8,083	13,716	2,602	21	138	25,776	8,062	13,578
not received.											
1,909	385	650	28,378	8,083	13,716	2,602	21	138	25,776	8,062	13,578
not received.											
not received.											
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
686	12	...	36,445	5,792	100	20,661	3,804	...	15,784	1,988	100
686	12	...	36,445	5,792	100	20,661	3,804	...	15,784	1,988	100
5,018	383	931	13,103	3,498	2,258	...	...	...	13,103	3,498	2,258
not received.											
1,745	670	472	5,946	8,179	1,608	...	444	19	5,946	7,735	1,079
not received.											
194	218	15	5,185	2,248	298	353	175	39	4,832	2,073	259
194	218	15	5,185	2,248	298	353	175	39	4,832	2,073	259
not received.											
...	...	...	118	7	30	118	7	30	...	...	...
...	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...
...	...	...	507	50	16	507	50	16	...	...	...
...	...	...	372	...	3	37	...	3	335	...	...
...	...	...	1,002	57	49	662	57	49	340	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	16	234	...	262	...	...	...	234	...	262
...	...	...	3,596	...	453	511	...	...	3,084	...	453
...	...	16	3,829	...	715	511	...	...	3,318	...	715
1,57,702	25,634	17,285	6,67,030	1,59,274	53,819	51,950	36,877	1,071	6,15,080	1,22,397	52,148

calculation in the Manager's statement of last year.

L.

General Abstract Statement showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favour of Government in Pauper Suits was realized and what was outstanding on the 1st April 1894.

Divisions.	Districts.	Outstanding on the 1st April 1894.			Awarded in favour of Government from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894.			Total.			Realized during 1893-94.			Balance.			Remitted by competent authority.			Net balance.			Expended during the year.
		Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal	Interest	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	Principal	Interest.	Cost.	Principal.	Interest.	Cost.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
		Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Burdwan	Burdwan	322	..	...	13	...	...	335	...		13	...	...	322	...	...	...	...	...	322	...	...	15
	Birbhum		...	.		...	..	. .	...	..	..	...		.	...		...		...	...	...	.	...
	Bankura	622	...	43	127	4	39	749	4	82	344	4	51	406	...	31	122	...	...	283	...	31	24
	Midnapore	8,160	...	365	1,602	38	44	9,762	33	409	1,490	33	109	8,272	..	300	107	...	...	8,165	...	300	115
	Hooghly	3,697	...	84	2,463	...	58	6,360	...	142	1,377	..	105	4,983	...	37	132	...	..	4,851	..	37	115
Presidency	24-Parganas	7,281	...	191	1,214	...	29	8,495	. .	220	432	...	20	8,063	...	200	3,883	...	.	4,180	...	200	68
	Nadia		..	...		...		...	...	..	...	..		..	...	. .	...	...	...	...	...	...	3
	Murshidabad	1,973	...	...	1,936	...	47	3,909	...	47	875	. .	47	3,034	...	...	...	...	...	3,034	...	...	79
	Jessore	965			541	...	.	1,506	...		311		..	1,195	...	...	...	...	...	1,195	...	...	...
	Khulna	1,467	...	77	313	...	11	1,780	...	88	51	...	11	1,729	.	77	177	...	...	1,552	...	77	...
Rajshahi	Rajshahi		...	...	...	. .	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	.	..	...	. .	...	...
	Dinajpur	(a) 45	...	. .	47	. .	...	92	...	...	45	...	—	47	...	...	...	...	...	47	...	...	...
	Jalpaiguri	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	...	...	...
	Darjeeling	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.
	Rangpur	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...
	Bogra		...	.	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...		...	...	...	..	...	...	..	..	...	...
	Pabna		...	168	.	...	172	.	..	340	...	...	213	.	. .	127	...	...	122	...	...	5	...
Dacca	Dacca	9 954	...	340	1,219			11,173	...	340	732	.	..	10,441	...	340	3,926	...	165	7,145	..	175	59
	Mymensingh	623	...	12	22	...	28	645	..	40	56	..	11	589	..	29	190	. .	...	399	...	29	24
	Faridpur	681		.	65	40	11	746	40	11	56	40	8	690	...	3	.	...	..	690	...	3	11
	Backergunge	1,573	...	41	1,054	.	76	2,627	...	117	656	...	...	1,971	...	117	27	...	...	1,944	...	117	63
Chittagong	Tippera	.	..		100	...	18	100	...	18	1	...	...	99	. .	18	...	...	.	99	...	18	3
	Noakhali	95	10	16	61		..	156	10	16	54	...	...	102	10	16	29	.	...	73	10	16	12
	Chittagong	56	...	2	1,029	...	4	1,085	.	6	33	...	...	1,052	...	6	...	...	...	1,052	...	6	3
Patna	Patna	3,353		...	419	...	...	3,772	.	...	64	...	...	3,708	...	...	315	...	...	3,393	...	...	...
	Gaya	532	...	...	68	...	...	600	...	.	10	...	...	590	...	...	532	...	...	58	...	...	...
	Shahabad	1,303	..	...	30	...	...	1,333	...	...	...	...	...	1,333	...	.	1,217	. .	...	116	...	...	3
	Saran	...	...	11	...	...	..	...	...	11	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	11	.	...	...	...
	Champaran	..		.	..	...		. .	..	...	. .	...		.	...	.	.	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Muzaffarpur	922	66	37(b)	631	17	5	1,553	83	42	770	65	24	783	18	18	10	1	13	773	17	5	9
	Darbhanga	22	...	...	75	...	...	97	...	...	75		...	22	...	...	...	...	...	22	...	...	...
Bhagalpur	Monghyr	.	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Bhagalpur	...	..	. .	...	.	...	.	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	.	. .	...	...	..	...	...	...
	Purnea	...	..	..	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...
	Malda	...	...	...	5	...	4	5	...	4	5	...	4	...	...	. .	...	...	...	...	...	...	3
	Sonthal Parganas.	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	. .	...
Orissa	Cuttack	...	...		...	.	...	...	...		...	...	...		...		...	...	..	...	...		...
	Balasore	...	...	719	...	...	..	...		719	...	. .	...	...	...	719	...	. .	...	...	...	719	. .
	Puri	. .	...	...	.	...	...		...	...		...	..	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Chota Nagpur	Hazaribagh	...		...	...	..	...	...	...		.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Lohardaga	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Palamau	..	...	...		...	..	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	. .		...	...	...
	Manbhum	840	...	...	1,138	.	3	1,978	...	3	36	...	3	1,942	...	...	...	...	...	1,942	...	...	...
	Singhbhum	.	..	...	21		...	21	...	...	21	...		...	...	...	...	..	.	...	...	...	...
	Total	44,686	76	2,106	14,193	99	549	58,879	175	2,655	7,507	147	606	51,372	28	2,049	10,037	1	311	41,335	27	1,738	611

(a) The difference is owing to the decree having been reduced under High Court decision.

(b) The difference is owing to Rs. 22 having been reduced by the Munsif in a certain case, in execution.

E. J. H. and others—Reg. No. 774J—236—23-5-94

No. 1058J.—D.

RESOLUTION.

JUDICIAL.

Dated Darjeeling, the 19th June 1894.

READ—

The report on the Legal Affairs of the Government of Bengal for the year 1893-94.

Read also—

The Reports of the two previous years, and the orders of Government thereon.

Since the issue of the Resolution on last year's Report on the Legal Affairs of Government, it has been decided that the latest date for the submission of these reports to Government should be the 15th of May following the close of the financial year to which they relate. In accordance with this decision, the present report was due on the 15th May 1894, but it was not received in this office till the 25th of that month.

2. At the close of 1892-93 there was only one appeal case pending before the Privy Council, in which the Secretary of State was respondent. The case is still undecided. No fresh appeal case was instituted before the Privy Council during the year.

3. The results of Government litigation in the Civil Courts in Bengal during 1893-94 are compared with those of the two preceding years in the subjoined table:—

	Original cases.			Appeals. Before Lower Courts.			Appeals. Before High Court.			Total.		
	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Decided in favour of Government	238	336	209	32	44	47	10	11	27	280	391	233
Decided against Government ...	76	116	63	7	12	7	13	6	11	96	134	81
Compromised, remanded or withdrawn.	125	108	46	3	8	18	7	4	6	135	120	70
Percentage in favour of Government.	75·7	74·4	76·8	82	78·5	87·03	43·5	64·7	71·05	74·4	74·5	77·7

Excluding land acquisition cases, the figures are—

	Original cases.			Appeals. Before Lower Courts.			Appeals. Before High Court.			Total.		
	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Decided in favour of Government	95	145	104	32	44	47	10	11	27	137	200	178
Decided against Government .	37	53	40	7	12	7	10	6	9	54	72	56
Compromised, remanded or withdrawn	11	8	21	3	8	18	6	4	5	20	20	44
Percentage in favour of Government	72	73·6	72·2	82	78·5	87·03	50	64·7	75	71·7	73·5	76·06

The percentage of original cases decided in favour of Government, including land acquisition cases, was 76·8, which is a better figure than either of the years 1891-92 and 1892-93. Excluding these cases, it was 72·2 against 73·6 in 1892-93; but if analogous cases in which Government was unsuccessful and

which were governed by a single decision are taken as one, the percentage of Government success in the Courts of First Instance becomes 74·8. The results of Government litigation in the High Court and the Lower Appellate Courts show a decided improvement as compared with those of the two preceding years.

4. Of the 11 cases decided against Government by the High Court, in 6 it appeared as appellant, and in the remaining 5 as respondent. Of the 6 cases in which Government was appellant, three were appeals from original decrees, and the rest appeals from appellate decrees. The most important among the former was a case in which the sale of a *taluk* under the Revenue Sale law for a demand, a part of which only was revenue, was declared to be illegal and invalid. It was held that procedure should properly have been taken under the Public Demands Recovery Act, VII (B.C.) of 1880. The three second appeals arose out of certain settlement proceedings, in which the question at issue was whether, in preparing a record of rights under section 102 of the Bengal Tenancy Act, a Revenue Officer is competent to determine the validity of rent-free titles set up by persons occupying lands within the area under enquiry, so as to resume such lands and to declare them liable to settlement of rent. The question was decided in the negative by a Full Bench of the High Court. Of the five cases decided by the High Court against Government as respondent, two were for the recovery of fractional shares of surplus sale proceeds of estates sold for arrears of revenue, in which the decision was based on the Full Bench ruling in the case of Secretary of State *versus* Guru Proshad Dhur, I. L. Reports, 20 Cal, 51; one was for *khas* possession of a certain share of a *taluk* by adverse possession of more than 60 years, and the remaining two were cases under the Land Acquisition Act. There was only one important case decided against Government in the Lower Appellate Courts, in which it was decided that the Tirhut State Railway was liable to pay damages for goods, even though the servant of the consignor had signed a risk-note. Of the cases in the Courts of First Instance in which Government was unsuccessful, many were for possession of lands formed by alluvion, setting aside the settlements made by the Deara authorities, and none were of special interest or importance.

5. The following statement shows the results of Court of Wards' litigation during the last three years in all Courts:—

	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.
1	2	3	4
Decided in favour of Court of Wards ...	903	654	1,322
Decided against Court of Wards	139	73	140
Compromised, remanded or withdrawn ...	76	66	55
Percentage in favour of Court of Wards ...	86·6	89·9	90·4

The increase in the number of cases decided during the year is attributed to the institution of a large number of rent suits on behalf of the Burdwan Raj estate and the Dighapatia and Khagra estates in the districts of Burdwan, Hooghly and Bankura, Jessore, and Pabna and Purnea, respectively. In the only case decided by the High Court against the Court of Wards as appellant, viz., that of Tikari estate *versus* Raghunandan Persad Singh, it was held that all impositions upon tenants under the denomination of *abwabs* and other like appellations in addition to actual rent are illegal, and all stipulations and reservations to pay the same are void. Out of 108 cases decided against the Court of Wards in the Courts of First Instance, only 11 were of the nature of civil suits: the remainder were rent cases with a small number of bond suits which, under the Civil Suit Rules, are not reported to the Legal Remembrancer.

6. The following figures show the extent to which decretal amounts due to Government have been realized:—

	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.
1	2	3	4
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Amount under realization	64,248	42,617	47,190
2. " realized during the year ...	30,333	12,616	8,943
3. Percentage of recovery	45·25	29·5	18·95

The net outstanding balance at the close of the year was Rs. 37,154. The poor realization made during the year is said to have been due to the want of zeal on the part of the subordinate collecting agency added to the inherent difficulty of the task of finding out properties belonging to judgment-debtors which are very easily concealed or placed beyond the reach of attachment. The heaviest outstanding balances were in the marginally-noted districts. The large balance in the Sonthal Parganas is on account of a High Court decree obtained during the year.

	Rs
Hooghly ...	1,778
Faridpur ...	4,090
Saran ...	2,076
Sonthal Parganas ...	14,030

7. The following table shows the amount of Wards' decrees realized during the year as compared with the two preceding years:—

	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.
1	2	3	4
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Amount under realization	10,95,704	9,83,675	10,80,794
2. " realized during the year ...	2,30,997	1,59,690	2,00,671
3. Percentage of recovery	21·07	16·25	18·56

This table does not include the decrees of 14 estates under the management of the Court of Wards, the figures relating to which had not been received by the Legal Remembrancer at the time of the submission of his report. The percentage of recovery of Wards' decrees during 1893-94 shows some improvement as compared with the preceding year; but the Lieutenant-Governor notices that the outstanding balance still remains excessive in spite of the large remission made during the year. In some estates there have been no realizations, and in many the amount recovered is small as compared with the outstanding balance. Thus, in the Moheshpore estate in Midnapore, out of Rs. 73,491 due to the estate, nothing was realized during the year. In the Tikari estate, out of Rs. 2,71,771 due to the estate, only Rs. 41,390 were realized. In the Burdwan Raj estate, out of Rs. 1,91,390 due, Rs. 95,953 were realized and a large sum is said to be not yet under execution. The results appear to the Lieutenant-Governor to be very unsatisfactory, and the attention of the Board of Revenue will be invited to the matter.

ORDER—Ordered that a copy of the Resolution be forwarded to the Superintendent and Remembrancer of Legal Affairs and the Solicitor to Government for information.

Ordered also, that a copy of the Resolution be forwarded to the Revenue Department of this Government and to the Board of Revenue for information.

Ordered also, that a copy of the Report and Resolution be submitted to the Government of India in the Home Department for information.

Ordered also, that a copy of the Resolution be published in the *Calcutta Gazette.*

By order of the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal,

H. J. S. COTTON,

Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Bengal.

No. 1059J.D.

COPY of the Resolution forwarded to the Superintendent and Remembrancer of Legal Affairs, and the Solicitor to Government, for information.

No. 1060J.D.

COPY of the Resolution forwarded to the Revenue Department of this Government, and to the Board of Revenue, for information.

By order of the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal,

E. V. LEVINGE,

Under-Secretary to the Govt. of Bengal.

DARJEELING,
The 19th June 1894.

Reg. No. 2179C—265—30-6-94.